

### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 9**

## 75 HAWTHORNE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 **EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

DOCKET NO.: SPCC-09-2010-0011

On: November 12, 2009

· At:

Hafa Dai Hotel Saipan, CNMI 96950

Owned & Operated by: Hafa Dai Hotel (Respondent)

An authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") conducted an inspection to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention ("SPCC") regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j), (the "Act"), and found that Respondent had failed to comply with the SPCC regulations as noted on the attached SPCC INSPECTION FINDINGS, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS AND PROPOSED PENALTY FORM ("Form"), which is hereby incorporated by reference. By its first signature below, EPA ratifies the Inspection findings and Alleged Violations set forth in the Form.

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the SPCC regulations and has violated the SPCC regulations as further described in the Form. The Respondent admits to being subject to 40 CFR § 112 and that EPA has jurisdiction over the Respondent and the Respondent's conduct as described in the Form. Respondent does not contest the Inspection Findings, and waives any objections Respondent may have to EPA's jurisdiction.

EPA is authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(6) (B)(i), as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, and by 40 CFR § 22.13(b). The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Form for a penalty of \$1000.00. The Respondent consents to the assessment of this penalty.

This Expedited Settlement also is subject to the following terms and conditions: Respondent certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations have been corrected and Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$1000.00, payable to the "Treasurer, United States of America" with the notation "Spill Fund - 311" and the Docket Number stated above.

This Expedited Settlement must be returned by certified mail to: OPA Enforcement Coordinator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 (SFD-9-4), 75 Hawthome Street, San Francisco, California 94105-3901. The certified check for payment must be sent by certified mail to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fines and Penalties, Cincinnati Smance Center, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further action against the Respondent for the violations of the SPCC regulations described in the Form.

However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by the Respondent of the SPCC regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Sattlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective immediately on the date filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk. If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the noncompliance identified in the Form.

APPROVED BY EPA:

Date: 4/30/10 Hanson Keith Takata, Director Superfund Division

APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:

Takeshi NiShimu≥a. Name (print):\_

(inenera.) Title (print): lanaze (°

Signature

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Steven Jawgiel

Regional Judicial Officer

Date

R9 (12V.+)+(1/2003 . . . ----

CB

k !

# Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings, Alleged Violations, and Proposed Penalty Form

(Note: Do not use this form if there is no secondary containment)

These Findings, Alleged Violations and Penalties are issued by EPA Region 9 under the authority vested in the Administrator of EPA by Section 311(b)(6)(B)(I) of the Clean Water Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

(	Company N	ame	Docket Number:	
	Hafa Dai Hotel Facility Name		* *	
_1			SPCC-09-2010-0011   Pate   SPCC-09-2010-0011	
			November 12, 2009	
	Address		Inspection Number	
]	P.O. Box 50	00338	10-4024	
(	City: Garapan, Saipan		Inspector:	
L			Pete Reich	
	State:	Zip Code:	EPA Approving Official:	
L	CNMI	96950	Keith Takata	
(	Contact:		Enforcement Contacts:	
I	Mr. Takeshi Nishimura		Mark Samolis Phone 415-947-4273	
		(Bu GENERAL TOPICS: 112.3(a	y of Inspection Findings  alk Storage Facilities)  a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d)  eeds \$1,500.00 enter only the minimum allowable of \$1,500.00.)	
X	Inadequa	ate Spill Prevention Control and Count	termeasure Plan- 112.3	
	Plan not	certified by a professional engineer- I	12.3(d)	
	No mana	gement approval of plan- 112.7		
	Plan not	maintained on site (applies if facility	is manned at least four (4) hours per day)- 112.3(e)(1) 150.00	
	Plan not	available for review- 112.3(e)(1)		
	No evide	ence of five-year review of plan by ow	ner/operator- 112.5(b)	
	No plan a			
		amendment(s) if the facility has had a enance which affects the facility's disc	change in: design, construction, operation, charge potential- 112.5(a)	
	or mainte	enance which affects the facility's disc		
	or mainte	enance which affects the facility's disc nent(s) not certified by a professional of	charge potential- 112.5(a)	

	Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.7
	Plan does not discuss conformance with SPCC requirement- 112.7(a)(1)
	Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2) 75.00
	Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)
	Plan has inadequate or no description of the physical layout of the facility- 112.7(a)(3)(i-vi)
	Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4) 150.00
	Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5) 150.00
	Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)
	Plan does not discuss appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment- 112.7(c)
÷	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated- 112.7(d)
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of conformance with SPCC rules or applicable State rules, regulations and guidelines- 112.7(j)
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required by 40 CFR Part 112 are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)

	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures	
	SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)	
	Facility not fully fenced and entrance gates are not locked and/or guarded when plant is unattended or not in production- 112.7(g)(1)	
	Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)(2)$	
	Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)(3)$	
	Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)(4)	
	Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)(5)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security	
	FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING RACK 112.7(h)	
	Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)	
	Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)	
	There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2) 300.00	
	There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(3)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack	
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM DIKED AREAS 112.8(b) & (c)	
	Valves used for drainage from diked storage areas to drainage system, watercourse, or effluent treatment system not controlled to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(2)	
	Run-off rainwater from diked areas is not inspected- 112.8(c)(3)(ii)	
	Valves not opened and resealed under responsible supervision- 112.8(c)(3)(iii)	
	Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv) 75.00	
	FACILITY DRAINAGE FROM UNDIKED AREAS 112.8(b)	
_		
	Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility- 112.8(b)(3)&(4)	
	Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more that one treatment unit- 112.8(b)(5)	
	Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage	

## **BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)**

	Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
	Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature- 112.8(c)(1)
	Secondary containment appears to be inadequate - 112.8(c)(2)
	Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil- $112.8(c)(2) \dots 350.00$
	Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity and/or walls slightly eroded
	Containment bypass valves are not sealed closed when not draining rainwater-112.8(c)(3)(i)
	Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing- 112.8(c)(4)
	Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to visual inspections- 112.8(c)(6)
	Aboveground tanks are not subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc 112.8(c)(6)
	Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include records of <b>periodic integrity testing</b> , deterioration, discharges, and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas - 112.8(c)(6)
	Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$ 150.00
Con	
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$ 150.00
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7) 150.00 ntainer installations are not engineered if:
Co	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7) 150.00 ntainer installations are not engineered if:  No audible or visual high liquid level alarm- 112.8(c)(8)(i), or
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$
Con	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$
	not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- $112.8(c)(7)$

#### FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)

Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1). 150.00
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- $112.8(d)(1)450.00$
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2)
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- $112.8(d)(3)$
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)
Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations-112.8(d)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process
TOTAL \$ <u>1,000.00</u>

#### **CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE**

I certify that the original and the foregoing Expedited SPCC Settlement Agreement in the matter of Hafa Dai Hotel SPCC-10-2009-0011 has been filed with the Region 9 Hearing Clerk and that copies were sent return receipt requested to the following:

Mr. Takeshi Nishimura Hafa Dai Hotel P.O. Box 500338 Garapan, Saipan, CNMI 96950

Certified Mail No.: 7008 1830 0002 6279 1143

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105